

# First Synthesis: $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$ and the First Interior Point

Pedro Henrique Correa Garcia

Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil

`garcia.pedro.wow@gmail.com`

April 2026

## Abstract

The fifth structural level of the spine contributes the first composite whose factorization contains two distinct primes:  $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$ . It is the smallest integer that lives simultaneously in both of the dimensions opened by the two preceding primes, and therefore the first *interior* point of the prime-coordinate plane. The level is centered on Aquinas, whose intellectual project was exactly the composition of two distinct traditions into a single interior framework, whose work was condemned for the composition, and whose vindication did not undo the composition but certified it.

## 1 Standing on Aquinas's shoulders

The fifth structural level of the spine is centered on Aquinas because Aquinas composed two intellectual traditions — one inherited through Aristotelian philosophy, one inherited through Christian theology — into a single framework that was neither tradition alone and that neither tradition alone could have produced. The composition was not a juxtaposition. It was a *synthesis*: a structure whose interior points were reachable only by holding both traditions simultaneously and whose boundary points, if projected onto either tradition alone, degenerated into the tradition's own flat content.

Aquinas was condemned for the composition. In 1277, three years after his death, the Bishop of Paris issued a list of propositions declared heretical, several of them drawn from his work. The condemnation was eventually withdrawn and he was recognized as a Doctor of the Church, but in the interval the composition itself was the offense: the crime was the holding of two traditions in one mind at the same time. The framework honors this by placing him at the smallest rung on which two distinct primes appear simultaneously.

**Remark 1.1** (Condemnation as a structural signal). *The pattern of condemnation-then-vindication is common to several figures in this spine. In Aquinas's case the pattern is particularly clean because the condemnation was explicit about what it objected to: not any specific proposition but the composition of the two traditions. The framework reads this as structural confirmation that composition at  $R_6$  is a genuinely new thing, not just a juxtaposition of existing content, and that observers who flatten one of the two axes will experience the composition as a violation.*

## 2 What is new at $R_6$

The first structural level contributes  $P_1 = 2$  and the second contributes  $P_2 = 3$ . The third level ( $R_4 = P_1^2$ ) adds self-reference but stays on the  $P_1$ -axis. The fourth level ( $P_3 = 5$ ) adds a third axis but does not yet combine axes. The fifth level contributes the first integer that has a nonzero coordinate on both the  $P_1$ - and  $P_2$ -axes simultaneously:

$$R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2 = 6.$$

**Definition 2.1** (Interior point). *An interior point of the prime-coordinate plane spanned by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is a positive integer whose factorization contains at least one copy of each of the two primes. The smallest interior point is  $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$ .*

**Proposition 2.2** ( $R_6$  is the smallest interior point). *There is no positive integer strictly less than  $R_6$  whose factorization contains both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .*

*Proof.* Every positive integer  $n < 6$  is one of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Their factorizations are 1,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_1^2$ ,  $P_3$  respectively. None contains both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . The integer  $6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$  does, and is therefore the smallest.  $\square$

### Key idea

$R_6$  is the first integer that is *inside* the plane rather than on one of its axes. Every smaller integer is either on the  $P_1$ -axis, on the  $P_2$ -axis, or on the number line of a prime not yet composed with anything.

## 3 Synthesis as composition, not juxtaposition

A synthesis is not the same as a list. A list of two things holds them side by side without requiring that they interact. A synthesis holds them in a single structure in which the behavior of each depends on the presence of the other.

**Proposition 3.1** (Synthesis is multiplicative). *The structural content of a two-tradition synthesis at rung  $R_6$  is given by the multiplicative composition  $P_1 \cdot P_2$ , not by the additive composition  $P_1 + P_2$ .*

*Proof.* The additive composition  $P_1 + P_2 = 5 = P_3$  lands on a new prime, which by construction is a new axis rather than a point in the existing plane. The multiplicative composition  $P_1 \cdot P_2 = 6 = R_6$  lands in the interior of the existing plane, with a coordinate  $(1, 1)$  that depends on both primes simultaneously. Synthesis is the operation that lands in the existing plane, and the operation is multiplicative because multiplicative is what lands there.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** (Why  $P_1 + P_2 = P_3$  is not a synthesis). *That the additive composition of the first two primes is a new prime rather than an interior point is itself a structural fact that deserves recording: the additive operation, when applied to two primes, tends to produce new primes rather than composite points. This is why the framework treats addition as the operation that opens new dimensions and multiplication as the operation that fills them.*

## 4 The state equation at $R_6$

Article 1 introduced the state equation  $\psi = \kappa + x$  of the manifold matrix. At rung  $R_6$  the state equation receives its first nontrivial instance.

**Proposition 4.1** (First nontrivial state).  *$R_6$  is the smallest rung at which both  $\kappa$  and  $x$  take nonzero values simultaneously in the manifold matrix  $M(R_6)$ .*

*Proof.* At rungs  $R_n$  with  $n < 6$  the matrix  $M(n)$  carries nonzero content on exactly one of its two axes (or on neither, for  $n = 0, 1$ ). At  $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$  both primes contribute independently: the  $P_1$  contribution loads the  $\kappa$  diagonal and the  $P_2$  contribution loads the  $x$  off-diagonal, producing the first matrix with both entries nonzero.  $\square$

### Takeaway

At  $R_6$  the state equation of the framework has, for the first time, a genuine two-component state. All previous rungs carry one-component states that are degenerate projections of this one.

## 5 What is forced at this level

- A first interior point exists:  $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$ . It is the smallest integer with coordinates on both of the two dimensions opened below it.
- Synthesis becomes a well-defined operation, and it is multiplicative rather than additive.
- The manifold-matrix state equation  $\psi = \kappa + x$  receives its first two-component instance.
- The framework acquires interior points, without which all subsequent structure would live only on the coordinate axes and not in the spaces they span.

**Remark 5.1.** *The level is a composite level, not a prime level: it does not introduce a new axis. What it introduces is the first nontrivial combination of two existing axes, and that combination is a genuinely new object, not just a position on either axis taken alone.*

## 6 Summary

At the fifth structural level the rung  $R_6 = P_1 \cdot P_2$  is the first composite whose factorization contains two distinct primes. It is the smallest interior point of the prime-coordinate plane and the first integer that requires both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  to be specified simultaneously. Synthesis, understood as composition rather than juxtaposition, is the arithmetic content of this rung, and the content is multiplicative. The level is centered on Aquinas, whose intellectual project was the composition of two distinct traditions into a single interior framework and whose condemnation-then-vindication mirrors the structural fact that interior points of a plane cannot be seen from inside either axis alone.